UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,492	09/23/2003	Anthony Bessios	57941.000023	1240
*****	7590 01/08/200 VILLIAMS LLP/RAMI	•	EXAM	INER
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200			MALEK, LEILA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO:	WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109 2611			
<u> </u>			_	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MO	NTHS	01/08/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			<b>%</b> 1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/667,492	BESSIOS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Leila Malek	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J.' nely filed the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 Sec</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This     Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final.		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•		
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2006 is/a  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\boxtimes$ object drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/23/2003 and 10/27/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Priority**

1. Applicant's claim for the benefit of a prior-filed applications under 35 U.S.C. 119(e) or under 35 U.S.C. 120, 121, or 365(c) is acknowledged.

### Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statements submitted on 12/23/2003 and 10/27/2004 have been considered and made of record by the examiner.

# **Drawings**

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the limitations (e.g. determining a coding gain for each of a plurality of transition-limiting codes and selecting one of the plurality of transition-limiting codes) cited in claims 1-11 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering

Art Unit: 2611

of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. As to claim 1-11, applicant in the invention disclosure fails to describe what a "transition-limiting code" is. Examiner searched other available references, but could not find a definition for "transition-limiting code".

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because as to claims 1-11, the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter which as a whole it does not accomplish a practical application. In order to accomplish a practical application, it

Application/Control Number: 10/667,492

Art Unit: 2611

must produce a "useful, concrete and tangible result." (see MPEP 2106, under section II, Determine What Applicant Has Invented and is Seeking to Patent, subsection A, Identify and Understand Any Practical Application Asserted for the Invention.).

Applicant in claim 1, recites an algorithm (i.e. determining a coding gain for each of a plurality of transition-limiting codes and selecting one of the plurality of codes having a largest coding gain), however there is no practical application disclosed for this algorithm.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter which as a whole it does not accomplish a practical application. In order to accomplish a practical application, it must produce a "<u>useful</u>, <u>concrete and tangible result</u>." (see MPEP 2106, under section II, Determine What Applicant Has Invented and is Seeking to Patent, subsection A, Identify and Understand Any Practical Application Asserted for the Invention.). Applicant in claim 10 claims a signal, which is not a statutory subject matter.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. As to claim 11 the language of the claim is ambiguous. The examiner suggests the use of "computer readable medium encoded with a computer program".

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Leila Malek whose telephone number is 571-272-8731. The examiner can normally be reached on 9AM-5:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/667,492

Art Unit: 2611

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mohammad Ghayour can be reached on 571-272-3021. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Leila Malek Examiner Art Unit 2611

L.M

MOHAMMED GHAYOUR
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINES